## August 7, 2017

**CONCERN:** Connie Bisbee, Parole Commissioner on the Reconsideration Hearing panel, requested that an audit be performed on offenders who have tiered assessed as a result of a conviction for Possession of Child Pornography. This audit was requested to review the scoring on the tier assessment scale of factors one, two, three and four;

Factor 1 = Degree of Force

Factor 2 = Victim's Injury Mental or Physical

Factor 3 = Degree of Contact

Factor 4 = Age of Victim

<u>ACTION:</u> A Crystal report was run to identify all offenders convicted of the specific offense of Possession of Child Pornography searching the offense filed in the SORT database.

The Crystal report identified 114 offenders. All of these case files were pulled and the assessment reviewed for consistency in the scoring of factors one through four.

**<u>FINDINGS:</u>** Of the 114 case files reviewed, 14 assessments had factors one, two or three receiving a score other than zero.

- Five of these assessments had scores in factors one, two and three due to a <u>second conviction</u> for Lewd and Lascivious Acts with a Child, Open and Gross Lewdness, or Indecent Exposure.
- One assessment was scored in factors one, two and three due to an <u>additional Charge of Lewdness with a Child identifying the offender's daughter as the victim.</u>
- One assessment received scores in factors two and three <u>based on the victim's statement</u> that the effects of her father taking immoral pictures of her in her youth led to the family's destruction, dysfunctional sexual actions, drug addiction and eventual pregnancy.
- One assessment scored factor two because the arrest report <u>identified the offender's 5 year old</u> <u>sister as a victim of molestation as well as his Possession of Child Pornography.</u>
- One assessment received scores in factors one, two and three because the <u>victim was identified</u> as the daughter of the offender and he give her a dildo as a birthday present and made her <u>masturbate while he took pictures of her.</u>
- One assessment scored factors one, two and three because the offender <u>had oral sex with his</u> girlfriend's 10 year old daughter as well as the Possession of Child Pornography.
- One assessment scored factor two because <u>both victims received counseling as a direct result of the molestation and being subjected to viewing Child Pornography</u>.

- One assessment scored factor two due to the child porn containing pictures of <u>children having</u> sex with animals.
- One assessment was scored in factors one, two and three because <u>along with possession of child pornography</u>, the offender molested six of his children and penetrated one of them.
- One assessment was not signed off by a supervisor and received a score in factor two due to the age of the victims. No support documentation was noted on the assessment, and this factor was upheld by the Reconsideration Panel.

**CONCLUSION:** The Sex Offender Registry will stay status quo in their current policy and procedure with our scoring methodology of assigning zero points to factors one, two and three when assessing an offender's conviction for Possession of Child Pornography.

To the extent that victim information is noted in a police report, Pre-sentence Investigation Report or other supporting documentation scores will be assigned to factors one, two and three accordingly.